## **APPENDIX 5**

## **Risk Register**

Rating for likelihood and seriousness for each risk							
L	Rated as low	Ex	Rated as extreme (used for seriousness only)				
М	Rated as medium	NA	Not assessed				
Н	Rated as high						

Grade: Combined impact of likelihood and seriousness									
	Seriousness								
		Low (L)	Medium (M)	High (H)	Extreme (Ex)				
اه و ماناه یا د	Low (L)	E	D	С	А				
Likelihood	Medium (M)	D	С	В	А				
	High (H)	С	В	А	А				

Recommended actions for grades of risk				
Grade	Risk actions			
А	Actions to reduce the likelihood and seriousness to be identified and implemented as soon as the project commences.			
В	Actions to reduce the likelihood and seriousness to be identified and appropriate actions implemented during project execution.			
С	Actions to reduce the likelihood and seriousness to be identified and costed for possible action if funds permit.			
D	To be noted - no action is needed unless grading increases over time.			
Е	To be noted - no action is needed unless grading increases over time.			

Ref:	Description of risk	Likelihood	Seriousness	Impact	Actions
					Pr – Preventative Co – Contingency Re – Recovery
1	Mayor and Cabinet does not agree Music Service proposals to spin out	L	Н	С	Pr: Work with steering group, officers and councillors to create a viable plan.  Co: Research other music services who have faced similar issues.  Re: Try and reach a compromise or continue as a Council department.
2	Charity trustees and Council fail to agree on transfer terms and conditions	L	Н	С	Pr: Work with steering group, officers and councillors to create a viable plan.  Co: Research other music services who have faced similar issues; seek legal advice.  Re: Try and reach a compromise or continue as a Council department.
3	DfE funding for music education hubs is reduced or withdrawn	L	Ex	A	Pr: Seek advice from DfE, ACE, Music Mark, other music services and hubs.  Co: Identify new income streams e.g. gift aid, new markets, trusts and foundations. Review viability of 'spin out' plan.  Re: Reduce service offering. Remove grant subsidies on services.
4	ACE fails to approve charity's application for music education hub funding	L	Ex	А	Pr: Seek advice from DfE, ACE, Music Mark. Co: Identify new income streams e.g. gift aid, new markets, trusts and foundations. Review viability of 'spin out' plan. Re: Reduce service offering. Remove grant subsidies on services.
5	Charity fails in first year of operation	L	Ex	А	Pr: Support from trustees, business mentor and consultants. Co: Apply for additional financial support from bank and/or funders. Re: Selective redundancies.
6	TUPE liabilities not affordable for the new charity	M	Н	В	Pr: Research financial and legal options to reducing liabilities. Co: Research alternative pension options. Re: Adjust services/staffing/reserves.
7	Hours of delivery in schools reduce due school budget changes	М	М	С	Pr: Close engagement with schools, improved marketing and communication.  Co: Identify new delivery models.  Re: Focus on new service offers.
8	Reputation diminishes as a result of transferring out of the Council	L	Н	С	Pr: Close engagement with all stakeholders, improved marketing/communication; review users' needs.  Co: Identify new income streams e.g. Gift Aid, new markets, curriculum provision.  Re: Adjust services accordingly with a focus on new service offers.

Peter Hayward, Head of Lewisham Music Service & Director of Lewisham Music Hub, September 2016